J. Griffin

DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE

BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

The attached Stip	lated Decision in case number W 193, is hereby adopted as the
Decision and Order of the	Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs. An effective
date of December 17	, 2000 has been assigned to this Decision and Order.

viade this 1/th day of November, 200	Made this _	17th	day of	November	, 200	00.
--------------------------------------	-------------	------	--------	----------	-------	-----

Martin R. Greenberg, Ph.D.
President, Board of Psychology
Department of Consumer Affairs

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California					
2	ALFREDO TERRAZAS (SBN 78403) Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice					
_	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor					
4	Oakland, California 94512 Telephone: (510) 622-2220					
5						
6	Attorneys for Complainant					
7						
8	BEFORE THE					
9	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. W 193				
12	JAMES J. GRIFFIN, Ph.D.,	STIPULATION, WAIVER AND ORDER THEREON				
13	108 Privada Luisita Los Gatos, CA 95030	AND ORDER THEREON				
14		44.				
15	License No. PSY 12634,					
16	Respondent.	· ·				
17						
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between James J. Griffin, Ph.D.,					
19	(hereinafter "respondent") and his attorney Jessie F. Ruiz, Esq., ROBINSON & WOOD, Inc.,					
20	and the Board of Psychology (hereinafter "Board") by and through its attorney Alfredo Terrazas,					
21	Deputy Attorney General, as follows:					
22	1. Accusation No. W 193 is presently pending before the Board. A copy of					
23	said Accusation is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.					
24	2. Respondent is represented by	Jessie F. Ruiz, Attorney at Law, of the firm,				
25	ROBINSON & WOOD, Inc.					
26	3. Respondent understands the	nature of the charges and allegations in				
27	Accusation No. W 193 as constituting cause for dis	cipline upon him and has had them explained				
28	to him by his attorney.					

- 4. That the respondent's license history and status as set forth at paragraph 2 of the Accusation are true and correct and that the respondent's address of record is as set forth in the caption of this Stipulation and Waiver.
- 5. That at the time of executing and filing the Accusation, Thomas O'Connor was the Executive Officer of the Board and filed the Accusation solely in his official capacity and not otherwise.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of and has had explained to him by his attorney his right to a decision based on a hearing on the charges and allegations in Accusation No. W 193, his right to reconsideration, to appeal and any and all other rights which may be accorded to him under the California Administrative Procedure Act and the laws of the State of California as they may be related to Accusation No. W 193.
- 7. Respondent fully, voluntarily and after consulting with his attorney waives his right to a decision based on a hearing, reconsideration and appeal and any and all other rights which may be accorded to him under the California Administrative Procedure Act and the laws of the State of California as they may relate to Accusation No. W 193, except his right to petition for reinstatement after a period of not less than three years following the effective date of this voluntary surrender pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2962.
- 8. All admissions of fact and conclusions of law contained in this Stipulation are made exclusively for this proceeding and any future proceeding between the Board of Psychology and the respondent and shall not be deemed to be admissions for any purpose in any other administrative, civil or criminal action, forum or proceeding. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his certificate as a psychologist. Respondent and his counsel are aware of each of respondent's rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations; respondent's right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against him; respondent's right to present evidence in his favor or to call witnesses in his behalf, or to so testify himself; respondent's right to contest the charges and allegations and any other rights which may be accorded him pursuant to the California

Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, §11500 et seq.); his right to reconsideration, appeal to the superior court and to any other or further appeal; respondent understands that in signing this stipulation rather than contesting the accusation, he is enabling the Board to accept the voluntary surrender of his license without further process. For purposes of the settlement of the action pending against respondent in Accusation Number W 193 and to avoid a lengthy administrative hearing that would impose severe economic hardship upon him, the emotional stain upon him and the patient alleged in the Accusation, as well as the risks associated with such a trial, respondent admits that, *if proven*, there is a factual and legal basis for the imposition of discipline by the Board of Psychology pursuant to the allegations, which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist. Therefore, while neither admitting nor denying the factual allegations of Accusation Number W-181, regarding respondent's care and treatment of patient C.H. in California, respondent stipulates to the jurisdiction of the Board of Psychology to enter as its Decision in this matter the Order contained in this Stipulation, Waiver and Order Thereon.

- 9. WHEREFORE respondent desires and agrees to surrender his psychologist's license to the Board, thereby relinquishing his right to practice psychology in the State of California effective upon adoption of this surrender and assignment of an effective date by the Board of Psychology.
- Professions Code sections 2982, 2984 and 2986 and agrees that he will not apply to the Board to have his certificate renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated and will not apply for a new certificate for at least three (3) years after the effective date of this decision and that any such application shall be deemed a petition for reinstatement of the certificate and treated according to the provisions of Business and Professions Code section 2962 or any similar section that is in effect at the time of such an application.
- 11. Respondent expressly agrees that should he in the future petition for reinstatement of his certificate, the factual allegations of the First Cause for Disciplinary Action contained in Accusation Number W-193 shall be deemed admitted..

- Board of Psychology to issue its order accepting the voluntary surrender of his license without further process. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Board of Psychology regarding this Stipulation, without notice to or participation by respondent. In the event that this Stipulation is rejected for any reason by the Board, it will be of no force or effect for either party. The Board will not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this Stipulation.
- 13. Respondent clearly understands and agrees that by voluntarily agreeing to the surrender of his certificate of licensure by the Board for their formal acceptance, upon acceptance of the Stipulation by the Board, respondent understands that he will no longer be permitted to practice as a Psychologist in California, and also agrees to surrender and cause to be delivered to the Board both his license and any other certificate issued to him by them before the effective date of the decision.
- application for relicensure or reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement and that respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. W 193 will be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition. Further, for purposes of preservation of evidence, Investigative Report Number 1F 1999 94432 dated June 28, 2000 and documents, reports, letters and other records, identified as Attachment Exhibits 1 through 26 prepared by Senior Special Investigator Cathy L. Lozano, and appended hereto as Exhibit B may be introduced at the reinstatement hearing and may be considered, as direct evidence, in deciding whether the certificate should be reinstated.

The above-described documents, clearly labeled, shall be submitted to the Board for inclusion in respondent's file immediately after the adoption of this stipulation as the Board's decision in this matter.

15. Further, respondent agrees that should the Board decide favorably on any

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California 2 ALFREDO TERRAZAS Deputy Attorney General [SBN 078043] Office of the Attorney General 3 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 4 Oakland, CA 94612-1413 Telephone: (510) 622-2220 5 Facsimile: (510) 622-2121 6 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. W 193 13 JAMES J. GRIFFIN, Ph.D. ACCUSATION 108 Privada Luisita 14 Los Gatos, CA 95030 15 License No. PSY 12634 Respondent. 16 17 THOMAS S. O'CONNOR, complainant herein, charges and alleges as follows: 18 1. He is the Executive Officer of the Board of Psychology, State of California (hereinafter referred to as the "Board"), and makes these charges and allegations solely in his 19 20 official capacity. 21 LICENSE HISTORY 22 2. On or about March 6, 1992, respondent, James J. Griffin, Ph.D. (hereinafter 23 referred to as "respondent"), was issued License No. PSY 12634 by the Board of Psychology, 24 authorizing him to practice psychology in the State of California. The license expired on June 25 30, 1999, and is currently in delinquent status. The Board has taken no prior disciplinary action 26 against this license. 3. The incidents alleged herein occurred while respondent was a psychologist 27 28 practicing in Los Gatos, California.

1.

4. Section 118 (b) of the Business and Professions Code! provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license issued by a board in the Department of Consumer Affairs shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

- 5. Section 2984 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a license which has expired may be renewed at any time within three years after its expiration on filing of application for renewal on a form prescribed by the Board and payment of the renewal fee in effect on the last regular renewal date.
- 6. Section 726 provides that the commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct or relations with a patient, client, or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action.
- 7. Section 2960 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend, revoke or impose probationary conditions on a licensee for unprofessional conduct, which is defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following causes:
 - (i) Violating any rule of professional conduct promulgated by the Board and set forth in regulations duly adopted under this chapter;
 - (j) Being grossly negligent in the practice of her profession;
 - (k) Violating any of the provisions of this chapter or regulations duly adopted thereunder;
 - (o) Any act of sexual abuse, or sexual relations with a patient, or sexual misconduct that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or

^{1.} All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise noted:

and proposed decision or decision issued under this chapter, that contains a finding of fact that

means the touching of an intimate part of another person. "Intimate part" and "touching" have

the same meaning as defined in subdivisions (f) and (d) (1), respectively, of Section 243.4 of the

part" and "touching" as follows: "Intimate part" means the sexual organ, anus, groin, or buttocks

11. Title 16 California Code or Regulations section 1396.1 provides:

of any person, and the breast of a female. "Touching" means physical contact with another

person, whether accomplished directly, through the clothing of the person committing the

a patient, shall contain an order of revocation. The revocation shall not be stayed by the

the licensee engaged in any act of sexual contact, as defined in section 728, when that act is with

9. Section 728 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that "sexual contact"

10. Section 243.4 subdivisions (f) and (d) (1) of the Penal Code define "intimate

8. Section 2960.1 provides, in pertinent part, that notwithstanding section 2960,

1

3

5

4

6 7 administrative law judge.

9 10

Penal Code.

8

12

13

11

14

1516

18 19

17

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

"It is recognized that a psychologist's effectiveness depends upon his or her ability to maintain sound interpersonal relations, and that temporary or more enduring problems in a psychologist's own personality may interfere with this ability and distort his or her appraisals of others. A psychologist shall not knowingly undertake any activity in which

offense, or through the clothing of the victim.

in a psychologist's own personality may interfere with this ability and distort his or her appraisals of others. A psychologist shall not knowingly undertake any activity in which temporary or more enduring personal problems in the psychologists' personality integration may result in inferior professional services or harm to a patient or client. If a psychologist is already engaged in such activity when becoming aware of such personal problems, he or she shall seek competent professional assistance to determine whether

12. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution

2. Complainant has pleaded the language of Section 2960(o) in effect at the time of the relevant events set forth in this accusation.

services to the patient or client should be continued or terminated."

of a disciplinary proceeding before any Board within the Department of Consumer Affairs, the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed any violation of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

13. Section 2964.6 provides that, "[a]n administrative disciplinary decision that imposes terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee who is being placed on probation pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- 14. In or about February, 1996, patient C.H. ³/₂ was referred to respondent by the church both attended. C.H. initially sought assistance from respondent for difficulties in coping with her rebellious teenage son. In or about February, 1996, C.H. and her son began to attend regular joint therapy sessions with respondent. Respondent also saw C.H.'s son for individual therapy.
- 15. Commencing in or about April, 1996, C.H. began to see respondent for individual therapy. C.H. initially sought therapy from respondent regarding her relationship with her troubled son and her deteriorating marriage.
- 16. Shortly after C.H. began her individual therapy, respondent began to confide in C.H. regarding his personal life and feelings. He discussed personal issues with C.H., including his relationship with his wife. Respondent sat next to C.H. during therapy sessions and put his arm around her shoulder. Eventually, respondent and C.H. began to embrace and kiss during therapy sessions. Commencing in or about **June or July**, 1996, respondent and C.H. began to engage in sexual intercourse during some scheduled therapy sessions. While respondent and C.H. did not engage in sexual intercourse during each therapy session, they did engage in hugging and kissing in each session. The sexual relationship between C.H. and respondent continued until in or about **December**, 1996.
 - 17. Respondent's conduct as alleged constitutes unprofessional conduct and is

^{3.} The name of the patient will be disclosed to respondent pursuant to any request for discovery.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

In the Matter of the Accusation against:

Griffin, James J., Ph.D.

No. : W-193

I, the undersigned, declare that I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 1422 Howe Avenue, Sacramento, California 95825. I served a true copy of the attached:

DECISION AND ORDER

by mail on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope (or envelopes) addressed (respectively) as follows:

NAME AND ADDRESS

CERT NO.

James J. Griffin, Ph.D. 108 Privada Luisita Los Gatos, CA 95030 7099 3400 0002 4471 5760

Alfredo Terrazas Office of the Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94512

Jesse F. Ruiz, Attorney at Law Robinson & Wood, Inc. 227 North First Street San Jose, CA 95113-1016

Each said envelope was then on, <u>November 17, 2000</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States mail at Sacramento, California, the county in which I am employed, as certified mail, with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and return receipt requested.

Executed on, November 17, 2000, at Sacramento, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Mary Laackmann Enforcement Analyst